RESOLUTION NO. 18-1279

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KING COUNTY. BLACK DIAMOND. WASHINGTON **AUTHORIZING** THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE SERVICES **AGREEMENT** PROFESSIONAL WITH PARAMETRIX ENGINEERING, INC. FOR SURVEYING AND STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING TO SUPPORT SPRINGS RECONSTRUCTION DESIGN.

WHEREAS, the city is contracting with RH2 for the primary engineering and design effort for the springs reconstruction project; and

WHEREAS, the City uses Parametrix for surveying services; and

WHEREAS, the City has selected Parametrix for civil engineering services

WHEREAS, RH2 Engineering, Inc. does not have the bridge structural staff needed for the Green River Bridge component of the springs project and Parametrix has staff that is well suited for this type of structural engineering; and

WHEREAS, The project has been budgeted for and is financed by Palmer Coking Coal and Oak Pointe through the Water Supply and Facilities Funding Agreement; and

WHEREAS, City staff recommends authorizing a professional services agreement with Parametrix Engineering, Inc. for this project;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLACK DIAMOND, WASHINGTON, DOES RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

<u>Section 1.</u> The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute a professional services agreement with Parametrix Engineering, Inc. for surveying and structural engineering support for RH2 for the springs project.

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BLACK DIAMOND, WASHINGTON, AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF, THIS 1st DAY OF NOVEMBER 2018.

CITY OF BLACK DIAMOND:

Carol Benson, Mayor

Attest:

Brenda L. Martinez, City Clerk



October 22, 2018 File No. P-5636

Mr. Austin Fisher, P.E.

Parametrix
1019 39th Avenue SE, Suite 100
Puyallup, WA, 98374

Subject:

Proposal for Geotechnical Study

Cable Bridge for Pipe Crossing and Transmission Main Replacement

Black Diamond, Washington

Dear Mr. Fisher:

Attached please find our proposal to provide geotechnical support services for the propose water line upgrades in Black Diamond. The project will include constructing a new cable bridge to carry a 12-inch diameter water line across the Green River, and to replace approximately 9,000 lineal feet of transmission main.

To aid in our preparation of this proposal for the cable bridge, we reviewed the technical memorandum prepared by Parametrix dated June 25, 2018, met and toured the site with City personnel, and reviewed published geology maps and previous hydrogeological reports prepared for the area. For the transmission main replacement, we reviewed the scope of work prepared by RH2, which outlines the scope of work for geotechnical input.

Our proposed scope of work and fee are summarized in Exhibits I and II, respectively. We estimate that a budget of \$21,160 will be needed to complete the geotechnical study. The estimated budget includes \$16,160 for the cable bridge, and \$5,000 for the transmission main replacement.

Please call should you have any questions regarding this proposal.

Proposal for Geotechnical Study Cable Bridge for Pipe Crossing at Green River and Transmission Main Replacement Black Diamond, WA October 22, 2018

Sincerely,

Siew L. Tan, P.E.

Principal Geotechnical Engineer

Enclosures:

Exhibit I: Scope of Work (Task 100 Cable Bridge and Task 200 Transmission Main Replacement)

Exhibit II: Cost Estimate (Task 100 Cable Bridge and Task 200 Transmission Main Replacement)



EXHIBIT A-SCOPE OF WORK

City of Black Diamond Pipe Suspension Bridge Preliminary Engineering

BACKGROUND

An existing City of Black Diamond (City) suspension bridge supports two 6-inch water lines across the Green River. To increase capacity the City intends to replace the 6-inch water lines with a 12-inch pipe. The City requested Parametrix to evaluate the structural capacity of the existing bridge and develop rehabilitation scenarios and costs. The report identified several of the challenges and risks associated with rehabilitation. The City also requested Parametrix to conduct an alternatives analysis for replacement. The analysis reviewed several suspension structure alternatives to support the 12-inch water line, and developed conceptual-level engineering and costs. Based on the report, the City decided to proceed with a replacement suspension structure.

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this Scope of Work is to provide geotechnical information, survey, preliminary engineering, and a preliminary cost estimate for a replacement pipe suspension structure. The preliminary information will be used to support permitting for construction. This initial phase will also lay the foundation for a potential future phase to develop PS&E level documents for construction.

SCHEDULE

This scope of services is anticipated to be completed within four (4) months of receipt of the notice to proceed.

PHASE 1 - PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING

Task 1 - Project Management and QA/QC

Approach

Parametrix will provide the tools for continuous tracking of the project schedule and budget, project QA/QC, and status of deliverables to ensure that the project is executed as expected by the City.

Assumptions

A 4-month project schedule is assumed.

Deliverables

- Miscellaneous correspondence to document project management issues.
- Monthly progress reports and invoices.

Task 2 – Topographic Survey / Easement Support

Approach

Topographic Survey

Parametrix will perform surveying work associated with topographic mapping of approximately 8,500 lineal feet as shown on attached image entitled "BD Water Main," being a route from the existing Pump House northwesterly to the In City Forest Water Main. Limits of mapping will generally follow a corridor covering the existing water line, being an existing gravel road/railroad grade at the east end of the route and a treed side slope at the west end, with the general swath of coverage intended to cover an existing road prism and/or cut/fill bank suitable for design along the route.

This task will include the following:

- Detailed planimetric survey will include, but not be limited to, location of roadway surfaces, trails, culverts, structures, break lines, visible surface utility features, and underground utility marks.
 Parametrix will hire a private underground utility locator to provide utility locates on conductible utilities at each end of the route, as well as utilize GPR (ground penetrating radar) to identify the existing water main for the entire route. If existing utility structures are encountered, such as storm and sanitary sewer, accessible invert elevation data will be measured. In addition, significant trees with a diameter of 8" and above in the immediate vicinity of the route will be mapped and incorporated into base mapping.
- Supplemental mapping identified by RH2 Engineering, Inc. includes additional topography along both sides of the river at the bridge crossing, to be merged with previous base map.
- Supplemental mapping identified by the City includes 200'-300' of an existing maintenance road centerline, to be merged with previous base map.
- Topographic survey will be performed to create a digital terrain model (DTM) with an accuracy required to produce a one (1) foot contour interval map with additional spot elevations collected as needed to assist in design support. The field data will be collected and processed in a Civil 3D CAD base map.

Easement Support

In addition to the topographic mapping, easement document support will be necessary in order to provide an accurate depiction of the existing road lying within Washington State Parks property, being at the east end of the route identified under the topographic survey task. This task will include the following:

- Tie location and width of existing road lying within Washington State Parks property, along with monuments necessary to tie location to Section Line/s for description take-off.
- Provide legal description and exhibit with accurate depiction of existing road.

Assumptions

- Survey will be developed using Parametrix' symbols, layers and linetype standards
- Parametrix survey crews will have unrestricted access to make measurements
- Datums will be NAD 83/11 Horizontal, and NAVD 88 Vertical
- Boundary determination for parcel lines will not be performed along the route, except in the immediate vicinity of the Washington State Parks land where accurate depiction of easement is necessary for legal description and exhibit map

- Setting of property corners and/or a Record of Survey is not included
- City staff will be available for assistance in showing GPR crew locations of known water valves, etc. along the route

Deliverables

- Survey topographic base map provided in Civil 3D format
- Exhibit and Legal Description of existing road lying within Washington State Parks property

Task 3 – Geotechnical Exploration - PanGEO

See attached proposal letter from PanGEO dated October 22, 2018.

Task 4 - Preliminary Engineering

Approach

The replacement suspension structure to be advanced for preliminary engineering will be based on the preferred alternative outlined in the Technical Memorandum produced by Parametrix, dated June 25, 2018 (Attachment 1). The bridge spans from the southern ridge above the Green River to the northern bank near the tower of the existing utility bridge. The estimated tower height for the structure is 40 feet on the south ridge and 20 feet on the north bank. The horizontal length between towers is approximately 375 feet, and the vertical elevation difference between towers is approximately 160 feet.

Preliminary engineering will include the following:

- Review conceptual-level analysis and coordinate with the City on any changes.
- · Coordination with geotechnical engineer.
- Coordination with survey.
- Advance design of the preferred suspension bridge alternative to the preliminary engineering level.
 Preliminary engineering will be focused on supporting permitting and developing an opinion of probable cost. At a minimum preliminary engineering will include:
 - o Preliminary suspension cable design
 - o Preliminary tower design
 - o Preliminary suspension anchorage design
- Suspension anchorage design will be gravity-based and include two (alternatives) to minimize cost and disturbance of shallow soft soils on the south ridge.
- Evaluate feasibility of construction.
- Estimate location and number of trees to be removed for construction.
- Evaluate future repair methods for damages to the installed pipe.
- Design Opinion of Probable Cost (electronic files, PDF only).

Assumptions

- Preliminary engineering will be based on the preferred alternative developed in Parametrix Technical Memorandum dated June 25, 2018.
- Tower foundation design and anchorage will be based on preliminary survey and geotechnical information.

One site visit will be required.

Deliverables

- Draft Technical Memorandum for review in electronic (PDF) format
- Final Technical Memorandum in electronic (PDF) format
- · Preliminary Opinion of Probable Cost
- Preliminary suspension bridge drawings
- Calculations

Task 5 – Pipe Size and Type Coordination

Approach

Parametrix will coordinate with RH2 to update the design of the structure for the selected pipe type, size and configuration (encased or not).

Assumptions

- Loading for the cable bridge will be based on the final pipe type, size and configuration as provided by RH2/City.
- The budget for this task is limited to the hours shown in the attached budget estimate. Additional time for coordination with RH2 and/or the City will require a supplement.

Deliverables

Meeting notes as applicable.

EXHIBIT I - SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for the proposed cable bridge is outlined in Task 100, and the scope of work for the transmission main is outlined in Task 200.

TASK 100 - CABLE BRIDGE

- 1.1 Site Reconnaissance/Geologic Review Perform a site reconnaissance to observe surface features. We will also collect and review published geologic information, including geologic maps, previous geological data, historical aerial photos, and LiDAR images.
- 1.2 Test Boring Drill one test boring at/near the south abutment. It is our understanding that the load demand at the north end of the cable bridge is significantly less and likely can be handled with the existing concrete block. As such, no test boring is planned at the north abutment.

Based on our site observations, we anticipate very dense glacial till to be present near the existing ground surface at the south abutment. Based on our review of the published geological data, the glacial till may be relatively thin and is underlain by a layer sand and then bedrock. The vertical exposed face of glacial till near the proposed south abutment suggests that the sand layer may have been compromised and resulted in the slumping of the glacial till overlying the sand. One primary objective of the proposed test boring is to look for signs of potential disturbance in the sand layer below the till, based on SPT (standard penetration test) blow-counts. Low blowcounts would indicate potential disturbance.

The test boring will be drilled to a maximum depth of about 60 feet below grade, using hollow stem augers and potentially rock coring. Actual boring depth will depend on the subsurface conditions encountered. The boring may be terminated at shallower depth if drilling refusal is encountered. Soil samples from the test borings will be obtained using Standard Penetration Tests (SPT), which will be conducted at $2\frac{1}{2}$ - and 5-foot depth intervals. The test data provides an empirical assessment of the soil density and its engineering properties.

The boring will be located in a Washington State Park. We assume that the right-of-entry/permit to drill the test boring in the park will be provided by others at no cost to PanGEO. If needed, PanGEO can assist in furnishing necessary information (i.e., personnel, equipment, etc) to obtain the permission from the parks department.

- **1.3 Laboratory Testing** Select representative soil samples for geotechnical testing. We anticipate the tests may include moisture content and grain size analyses.
- **1.4 Geotechnical Report** Prepare a report describing the results of our study and recommendations. The content of the report will generally consist of the following:
 - Site Description Description of surface and subsurface conditions (soil and groundwater) at the site, including a site map showing the locations of the test borings, summary boring logs, and anticipated soil behavior;
 - Critical Area Considerations The south abutment area is mapped by King County as an environmentally sensitive area due to steep slopes, landslide hazards, erosion hazards, and coal mine hazards. We anticipate that these geologic hazards will need to be addressed during permitting. Our report will address these issues, based on review of published data (aerial photos, LiDAR images, geology maps, etc), our site reconnaissance, and the results of our test boring. Provide potential options to mitigate the risks if needed.
 - Seismic Design Parameters Seismic site class and site coefficients based on AASHTO design standards;
 - Recommendations Selection of foundation types and geotechnical design parameters.
- 1.5 Post-Report Consultation Provide post-report consultation services to assist with the design and preparation of plans and specifications on an as-needed basis.

TASK 200 - TRANSMISSION MAIN REPLACEMENT

2.1. Site Reconnaissance/Geologic Review – Perform a site reconnaissance to observe surface features and evaluate potential geologic hazards. Collect and review published geologic information, including geologic maps, and previous geological data, if available. During the site reconnaissance, test pit locations will be marked in the field for utility locates and general planning purposes.

- **2.2.** Test Pits Observe the excavation of 4 test pits along the alignment. The test pits will be excavated by a backhoe and operator provided by the City at no cost to PanGEO.
- 2.3. Soil Corrosivity Conduct laboratory tests to determine the pH and resistivity of representative soil samples collected from the test pits. We understand that, based on the review of the scope of work prepared by RH2, the City will make direct payment for the lab tests. As such, we do not include the cost of the laboratory tests in our budget. However, PanGEO will coordinate this effort, including selecting soil samples for the tests, and delivering the soil samples to the laboratory. The test results will be used to evaluate the corrosivity of the site soils.
- 2.4 Report Prepare a report identifying the geologic conditions and recommendations for design and construction of the transmission main, evaluate the subsurface conditions for directional drilling or other trenchless options, and open cut trenching.

EXHIBIT II - COST ESTIMATE

Cost estimate for the proposed cable bridge is outlined in Task 100, and the cost for the transmission main is outlined in Task 200.

TASK 100 - CABLE BRIDGE

WORK TACK PERCENTAGE	PanGEO Labor Hours & Hourly Rates								
WORK TASK DESCRIPTION	S. Tan	M. Xue	Sr Geologist	Engr/Geologist			- an and the street was reached the territory		
	\$155.00	\$130.00	\$130.00	\$110.00					
Coordinate Field Work			4				\$520		
M onitor Test Boring			4	12			\$1,840		
Prepare Log/Evaluate Lab Tests				2			\$220		
Engineering/Report Preparation	8		24	12			\$5,680		
M eeting	3						\$465		
Post Report Consultation	4						\$620		
TOTAL DSC:	15	0	32	26	0	0	\$9,345		
LABORATORY TEST SUMMARY									
	Est. No.	Unit	Total		ESTIMATED D	IRECT EXPENSES	:		
Test	Tests	Cost	Cost			Mileage:	\$150		
M oisture Content	4	\$20	\$80			Aerial Photos:	\$600		
Grain Size Analysis	4	\$110	\$440			Field Supplies:	\$20		
Cation Exchange Capacity	0	\$100	\$0		TOTAL DIREC	TEXPENSES:	\$770		
TOTAL LABORATORY TEST	ING:		\$520						
					ESTIMATED D	RILLING COSTS:			
					Dril	l Rig M obilization:	\$550		
						Ferry:	\$0		
1)	d desputational contents on the subsect of the set			DOE Fee:	\$25		
					Drilling - \$4350/	lay, 8 hours or less	\$4,350		
				w		Remove Cutting	\$600		
					Tra	affic Control Signs:	\$0		
					TOTAL SUB. C	OSTS:	\$5,525		
			tati managran er seri rinakanskalskalskalskalskalsk		•	ALS AND SUMMA	·		
					•	ALS AND SUMMA	RY: \$9,345		
					PROJECT TOTA	ALS AND SUMMA	RY: \$9,345 \$770		
					PROJECT TOTA		RY: \$9,345 \$770 \$520		
					PROJECT TOTA Total Labor Cost Direct Expenses	Tests	RY: \$9,345 \$770		

Proposal for Geotechnical Study Cable Bridge for Pipe Crossing at Green River and Transmission Main Replacement Black Diamond, Washington October 22, 2018

TASK 200 - TRANSMISSION MAIN REPLACEMENT

ESTIMATED LABOR:		Dan	CEO Labari	Hours & Hourly	· Dotos		
WORK TASK DESCRIPTION				/ Rates			
	S. Tan \$155,00	M. Xue \$130.00	Sr Geologist \$130.00	Engr/Geologist \$110.00			
Site Reconn / Coordinate Field Works	¥155.00	7130.00	4	7110.00			\$520
M onitor Test Pit Excavation				8	1		\$880
Prepare Log/Evaluate Lab Tests				1.5			\$165
Engineering/Report Preparation	4		12	10			\$3,280
							\$0
TOTAL DSC:	4	0	16	19.5	0	0	\$4,845
LABORATORY TEST SUMMARY				THE STATE OF THE S			
	Est. No.	Unit	Total		ESTIMATED DI	RECT EXPENSES	:
Test	Tests	Cost	Cost			Mileage:	\$150
M oisture Content	0	\$20	\$0			Field Supplies:	\$5
Grain Size Analysis	0	\$110	\$0		TOTAL DIRECT	EXPENSES:	\$155
Cation Exchange Capacity	0	\$100	\$0				
TOTAL LABORATORY TEST	'ING:		\$0				
					PROJECT TOTA	LS AND SUMMA	.RY:
					Total Labor Cost		\$4,845
:					Direct Expenses	and a second contract of the second contract of the second	\$155
					Subcontractor Lab	Tests	\$0
					TOTAL:		\$5,000

EXHIBIT B

Fee Schedule

See Parametrix Budget Estimate (Exhibit B) on the following pages.

Client: City of Black Dlamond Project: Pipe Suspension Bridge Preliminary

Exhibit B Pipe Suspension Bridge Budget Estimate

				Shari Morgan	Scott D. Spees	Austin Fikhes	Alvin R. Valencia	Lauretha L. Ruffin	Steven N. Sharpe	Jared M. Kemnitz	Joseph R. Merth	Denjamin J. Schla	Joshua M. Kelh	Lauren M. Jones	
insion Bridge imate				Sr Project Account	Surveyor (ii	Sr Consultant	Designer IV	Sr Project Coordin	Technical Lead	Survey Supervisor	Sr Engineer	Engineer III	Surveyor II	Sr Project Coordin	
		Burdened		\$120.00	\$120.00	\$235.00	\$150.00	\$105.00	\$130.00	\$170.00	\$215.00	\$130.00	\$105.00	\$105.00	
bTask			thr Hrs	SECRETARY OF	September 1 course	de sheesta estata		Spagesta	eca esperante	0.865000.5088	12855528283000000	CHECO CONTRACTOR	SHAMESTA	2772	
	Preliminary Engineering	\$92,010.00			122		68	4	70	18		170	102	12	
	Project Management and QA/QC	\$8,300.00	44			8					24			12	
	Topographic Survey / Easement Support	\$39,370.00	328	12	122			4	70	18			102		
A	Survey - Utility Locate	(see below)										i	1		
	Geotechnical Exploration - PanGEO	(see below)											1		
	Preliminary Engineering	\$40,380.00	270				60				48	162			
	Pipe Size and Type Coordination	\$3,960.00	24				8								
	Labor Totale	592 010 001	666	17	122	8	68	4	70	18	80	170	102	12	

	Pipe Size and Type Coordination	53,960.00	24				8		<u> </u>			85		
- 1	Labor Totals:	\$92,010.00	666	12	122	8	68	4	70	18	80	170	102	12
1	Totals:	\$92,010.00				-								

Subconsultants	
Applied Professional Services Inc	\$4,020.00
PanGEO Inc	\$21,160.00
Subconsultants Total:	\$25,180.00
	\$\$45 m
Other Direct Expenses Mileage (at 50.545 per mile) Survey Equipment (5140/Use)	\$\$45.00 \$1,680,00

Project Total \$119,415.00

EXHIBIT C

Billing Rates and Reimbursable Expenses

See Parametrix Billing Rates and Reimbursable Expenses on the following page. (Note: Reimbursable Expenses are shown on the Budget Estimate under Exhibit B.)

Parametrix Puget Sound Billing Rates - October 1, 2017 through September 30, 2018

Classification	Grade	Rate for Billing	Classification	Grade	Rate for Billing
CADD Operator I	8	\$90	Jr. Planner	8	\$85
CADD Operator II	9	\$100	Planner I	10	\$95
CADD Operator III	11	\$115	Planner II	11	\$110
CADD Supervisor/Technical Lead	12	\$130	Planner III	12	\$125
CADD Services Manager	14	\$140	Planner III	13	\$130
-			Planner IV	14	\$145
Jr. Designer	8	\$90	Sr. Planner	15	\$160
Designer I	10	\$105	Sr. Planner	16	\$190
Designer II	11	\$120	Sr. Planner	17	\$205
Designer III	12	\$130			•
Designer III	13	\$145	Jr. Scientist/Biologist	8	\$90
Designer IV	14	\$150	Scientist/Biologist I	10	\$100
Sr. Designer	15	\$170	Scientist/Biologist II	11	\$115
Sr. Designer	16	\$185	Scientist/Biologist III	12	\$125
Sr. Designer	17	\$200	Scientist/Biologist III	13	\$135
or. Designer	17	\$200		14	\$150
te Engineer		***	Scientist/Biologist IV		
Jr. Engineer	8	\$90	Sr. Scientist/Biologist	15	\$175
Engineer I	10	\$110	Sr. Scientist/Biologist	16	\$190
Engineer II	11	\$120	Sr. Scientist/Biologist	17	\$200
Engineer III	12	\$130			
Engineer III	13	\$140	Environmental Technician I	7-8	\$95
Engineer IV	14	\$160	Environmental Technician II	9	\$100
Sr. Engineer	15	\$170	Environmental Technician III	10	\$105
Sr. Engineer	16	\$190			
Sr. Engineer	17	\$215	Jr. Hydrogeologist	8	\$90
Sr. Consultant	18	\$225	Hydrogeologist I	10	\$105
Sr. Consultant	19	\$235	Hydrogeologist II	11	\$110
or. Consultant	10	\$233	Hydrogeologist III	12-13	\$130
Floatrigol Decignor I	44	6445			•
Electrical Designer I	11	\$115	Hydrogeologist IV	14	\$145
Electrical Designer II	12	\$130	Sr. Hydrogeologist	15	\$170
Electrical Designer III	13	\$145	Sr. Hydrogeologist	16	\$185
Electrical Designer IV	14-15	\$150	Sr. Hydrogeologist	17	\$195
Sr. Electrical Designer	16-17	\$180			
Sr. Electrical Designer	18	\$185	GIS Technician	9	\$100
Electrical Engineer I	11	\$115	GIS Analyst	10	\$105
Electrical Engineer II	12	\$130	Sr. GIS Analyst	11	\$115
Electrical Engineer III	13	\$145	•		
Electrical Engineer IV	14-15	\$160	Graphic Designer	10-11	\$115
Sr. Electrical Engineer	16-17	\$190	Sr. Graphic Designer	12-13	\$130
Sr. Electrical Engineer	18	\$225	on orderno oddagnar		7.00
or. Electrical Engineer	,,,	VLLO	Publications Specialist I	8	\$100
Jr. Surveyor	8	\$90	Publications Specialist II	9-10	\$105
	9	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10-11	\$105 \$115
Surveyor I		\$100	Sr. Publications Specialist		
Surveyor II	10	\$105	Publications Supervisor	12-13	\$130
Surveyor III	11	\$120	Technical Editor	10-11	\$120
Sr. Surveyor	12	\$130	Sr. Technical Editor	12-13	\$130
Sr. Surveyor	13	\$160			
Survey Supervisor	14-16	\$170	Technical Aide	7	\$80
Sr Surveyor of Operations	17	\$185	Sr. Technical Aide	8	\$90
Survey Prevailing Wage*			Project Coordinator	9	\$100
			Sr. Project Coordinator	10	\$105
Ir. Inspector	8	\$90	Project Controls Specialist	11	\$115
Construction Inspector	10-11	\$110	Sr. Project Controls Specialist	12-13	\$130
Sr. Construction Inspector	12-13	\$130	or. I Toject Goria dia Opedialist	12-10	\$150
			Desired Assessment	•	***
Resident Engineer	13	\$140	Project Accountant	9	\$100
Resident Engineer	14	\$150	Sr. Project Accountant	10-11	\$120
Construction Manager I	12-14	\$145	Accounting Specialist	9	\$100
Construction Manager II	15-17	\$160	Sr. Accounting Specialist	10-11	\$105
Sr. Construction Manager	15	\$170			
Sr. Construction Manager	16-17	\$190	Admin Assistant	7	\$80
Owner's Representative	19	\$215	Sr. Admin Assistant	8	\$90
•		•	Office Administrator	10-11	\$110
Division Manager	16-17	\$205	Sr. Office Administrator	12-13	\$135
Division Manager	/18-19	\$205 \$225	Office Administrative Manager	14-15	\$155 \$155
	,		-		
Operations Manager	16-17	\$205 \$240	Business Manager	15-16	\$160
Operations Manager	18-19	\$240	Sr. Contract Administrator	11	\$130
Program Manager	19-20	\$245	Director of Risk Management	20	\$260
Principal Consultant	19	\$240			
Principal Consultant	20	\$260	UAV Pilot		\$155
	19-20	\$260	Expert Witness		\$350

^{*} Prevailing Wage Rates apply to construction surveying on all Washington Public Works Projects.